AUSTRALIAN LEGAL SECTOR A L L I A N C E

PROMOTING SUSTAINABILITY

Australian Legal Sector Alliance Sustainability Insight 2016

Swaab

Firm Entry and Benchmarking Summary



Report created on 22 August 2023

Our Firm

Swaab

Swaab

About Our Firm

Swaab Attorneys is a multi-award winning, mid-sized commercial law firm offering legal services across a number of core practice areas and industry groups. With 14 partners we have been helping our clients' businesses grow for over 30 years. We have strong capabilities in the areas of commercial and corporate law and our large private client practice provides family law, estate planning and other high net worths personal services. In recent years, we have been recognised for both our excellent client service and our exceptional work environment. We also have a number of international clients for whom we act as attorneys and agents to assist them with their introduction to Australia's business landscape and the development of their business in Australia.

Firm Details

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Firm Name	Swaab Attorneys	Swaab Attorneys		
Number of Employees	80	70	Lowest:	35
			Highest:	1,724
			Average:	592
Offices Floor Area	2,261	2,261	Lowest:	661
			Highest:	46,486
			Average:	14,144
Number of Offices	N/A	1		
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

Person responsible for reporting

Name: Alison Parry

Title: Executive Assistant

Email: alp@swaab.com.au

User comments and notes

Nil

Our <u>People</u>

About People Programs

We place a high value on the well-being of our people. Our Managing Partner is on the board of the Tristan Jepson Memorial Foundation and our firm is a regular supporter by monthly donation. We have partnered with Optum to provide an employee assistance program with 24 hours seven days a week access for our people and their families. This year we have offered to our people participation in a tailored mindfulness meditation and stress reduction program called Thrive which is a program aimed at giving our people necessary tools to better understand their stress response and give them the tools to deal with stress. We are revamping our reward and recognition program "Swaab Superstar" which has been in place for a number of years. This year we formally adopted the Law Council's Diversity and Equality Charter. 29% of our partners are females and we demonstrate a disproportionately high female leadership team. This is something that our firm is extremely proud of because this has happened organically, without any set targets for diversity. We have a high number of part-time employees, both female and male and we have embraced flexible working across all levels of the firm.

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil	

User comments and notes

Nil

Gender Equality

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Gender equality - Policy?	N/A	Yes	Yes	78%
			No	11%
			Currently in development	6%
			Not reported	6%
Gender equality - Managed	N/A	Yes	Yes	96%
			No	4%
			Not reported	0%
Gender equality - Managed	N/A	Partner	Partner	31%
by		Director or Manager	Director or Manager	56%
		Committee	Committee	39%
Gender Equality - Programs	N/A	Law Council Diveristy and Equality Charter	International Women's Day	64%
			Employer of Choice for Gender Equality	44%

44%

Pay Equity

	Ambassador		44 /0
	Male Champion of Change		8%
	Board Links Champion		0%
	LCA Diversity and Inclusion Charter		0%
	Equitable briefing pledge (e.g. CommBar/LCA)		0%
	Host or lead externa programs and/or forums	al	0%
	Female advanceme mentoring and coaching	nt,	0%
	Training - Gender awareness unconscious bias		0%
	Gender sensitive promotion and recruitment		0%
	Internal D&I network or committees	s	0%
	LSNSW Charter - Advancement of Women		0%
	Equal pay controls		0%
	DCA major sponsor		0%
	Showcasing gender diversity experience		0%
	Women on Boards		0%
	UN Womens' Empowerment Signatory		0%
	Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are no covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	or	50%
No	Yes		75%
	No		13%
	Not reported		13%
71% Male	Lowest:		Male
	Highest:		Male
	Average:	73%	Male
34% Male	Lowest:	34%	Male

Gender Equality - Targets

Gender profile - Partners

Gender profile - Legal staff

N/A

N/A

N/A

47% Male

Highest:

			Average:	39% Male
Gender profile - Non legal staff	N/A	10% Male	Lowest:	10% Male
Stan			Highest:	27% Male
			Average:	20% Male
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

Nil

Flexible Working

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Flexible working - Policy	N/A	Yes	Yes	97%
			No	0%
			Not reported	3%
Flexible working - Programs	N/A	Flexible work hours	Flexible work hours	94%
and initiatives		Unpaid leave	Part time options	0%
		Carer's leave	Job sharing	83%
			Remote working tools and systems	0%
			Support for flexible working	0%
			Time in lieu	78%
			Unpaid leave	97%
			Carer's leave	89%
			Study leave	0%
			Volunteering leave	0%
			Religious and ceremonial leave	0%
			Purchased leave	0%
			Career breaks	0%
			Subsidised child care	0%
			Phased retirement	0%
			Adjusted KPIs after absences	0%
			Sabbaticals	0%
			Bonus leave	0%
			Domestic violence leave	0%
			Family and fertility leave	0%
			School Holiday Programs	0%
			Other programs not included above	28%

(please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above max 20 words

			max 20 words	
Paid parental leave scheme	N/A	Yes	Yes	92%
			No	3%
			Not reported	6%
Parental scheme - Primary	N/A	Yes	Yes	85%
and secondary carers			No	15%
Parental scheme - Aspects	N/A	Paid leave for primary care giver	Paid leave for primary care giver	89%
		Paid leave for secondary care giver	Paid leave for secondary care giver	75%
		Additional leave without pay available	Additional leave without pay available	81%
Paid parental leave - Primary	N/A	NaN	Lowest:	0
care giver			Highest:	18
			Average:	13
Paid parental leave -	N/A	NaN	Lowest:	1
Secondary care giver			Highest:	3
			Average:	2
Maternity leave returns	N/A	100%	Lowest:	73 %
			Highest:	100 %
			Average:	85 %
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

User comments and notes

Nil

Diversity and Inclusion

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Diversity policy	N/A	Yes	Yes	81%
			No	0%
			Partial	11%
			Currently in development	3%
			Not reported	6%
Diversity policy - Managed	N/A	Yes	Yes	89%
			No	0%

			Not reported	11%
Diversity policy - Managed by N/A		Partner	Partner	44%
		Director or Manager	Director or Manager	72%
		Committee	Committee	47%
Disability accessibility	N/A	Yes	Yes	50%
standards?			No	19%
			Currently in development	11%
			Not reported	19%
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

Nil

LGBTQ+ Inclusion

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
LGBTI inclusiveness - Policy	N/A	Yes	Yes	61%
			No	19%
			Not reported	19%
LGBTI inclusiveness -	N/A	Yes	Yes	64%
Managed			No	19%
			Not reported	17%
LGBTI inclusiveness -	N/A	Partner	Partner	33%
Managed by		Director or Manager	Director or Manager	42%
		Committee	Committee	42%
LGBTI inclusiveness - Activities or programs	N/A	Law Council Diversity and Equality Charter	Membership - Pride in Diversity	47%
			Training - LGBTQ+ awareness	42%
			AWEI survey	36%
			IDAHOBIT	33%
			Wear it Purple Day	0%
			World Aids Day	0%
			Membership - Out for Australia	0%
			Internal LGBTQ + networks or committees	0%
			Pro bono support	0%
			LCA Diversity and Inclusion Charter	0%

External LGBTQ+ programs hosting	0%
Training - LGBTQ+ awareness	0%
Gender pronouns promotion	0%
Trans Awareness Week	0%
Pride March	0%
Mardi Gras	0%
Midsumma	0%
Gender affirmation policy	0%
InterFirm events	0%
AWEI award	0%
Stonewall top Global Employer	0%
Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	50%

Nil

Physical and Psychological Wellbeing

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Psychological well-being -	N/A	Yes	Yes	89%
Policy or strategy			No	6%
			Not reported	6%
Psychological well-being -	N/A	Yes	Yes	86%
Managed			No	6%
			Not reported	8%
Psychological well-being -	N/A	Partner	Partner	39%
Managed by		Director or Manager	Director or Manager	69%
		Committee	Committee	39%
Psychological wellbeing - Programs or activities	N/A	Minds Count -TJMF Guidelines	Minds Count -TJMF Guidelines	58%
		R U OK? program	Beyond Blue program	31%
		Psychological support/ EAP	R U OK? program	81%
		Thrive stress reductuion and meditation program	Black Dog Institute program	14%

			Psychological support/ EAP	86%
			Mental health first aid training and support	69%
			Mental Health Awareness Week	0%
			Resilience at Law	0%
			Training - Mental health awareness and management	0%
			Salary continuance	0%
			External mental health programs hosting	0%
			Domestic violence strategy	0%
			Mental health office champion	0%
			Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	61%
Physical and psychological	N/A	Yes	Yes	53%
well-being - Monitoring			No	14%
			Currently in development	14%
			Not reported	19%
Physical health and well-	N/A	Yes	Yes	81%
being - Policy or strategy?			No	8%
			Not reported	11%
Physical well-being -	N/A	Yes	Yes	83%
Managed			No	6%
			Not reported	11%
Physical well-being -	N/A	Partner	Partner	28%
Managed by		Director or Manager	Director or Manager	67%
		Committee	Committee	36%
Physical well-being programs	N/A	subsidised gym membership,	Ergonomics program	92%
or initiatives		Thrive stress reduction and meditation program and	Proactive health checks	58%
		Optum EAP	Flu vaccinations	94%
		Ergonomics program Flu vaccinations	Internal exercise sessions	67%
			Gym memberships	0%
			Team events	0%
			Massages	0%
			0	- / -

	Wellness awareness and promotion	0%
	Subsidised health insurance	0%
	Onsite fruit and healthy catering	0%
	Life & TPD insurance	0%
	Ergonomics program - offsite	0%
	Health EAP	0%
	Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	0%
N//A		

Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil	
---------------------------	-----	-----	--

Nil

Staff Development

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
	No respo	onses provided.	
User comments and notes			
Nil			

Data Validation

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
	No res	ponses provided.	

User comments and notes

Nil

Community

About Community Programs

We have a formal sustainability charter which includes focus on pro bono and community engagement. We have a structured alliance with the Tristan Jepson Memorial Foundation and Lifeline for whom we do pro bono work. We are working towards formalising and better streamlining our sustainability charter across the firm with respect to pro bono and community focused activities so that we have an internal formal strategy and focus. We take on work experience students and a number of our partners have built relationships through University alumni to support law students as they progress through their university journey. We actively encourage involvement in the not for profit sector, providing support and resources when requested by employees around organisations about which they are passionate. Two years ago we partnered with Corporate Citizen to launch our workplace giving program. We hold a number of fundraising events throughout the year and any money raised during firm activities is matched by Partners.

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil	

User comments and notes

Nil

Legal Pro Bono

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Pro bono - Strategy	N/A	Yes	Yes	97%
			No	0%
			In development	0%
			Not reported	3%
Pro bono Managed	N/A	Yes	Yes	97%
			No	0%
			In development	0%
			Not reported	3%
Pro bono coordinator - Managed by	N/A	Partner		
Australian Pro Bono Centre	N/A	No	Yes	75%
Aspirational Target -			No	25%
Signatory			In development	0%
			Not reported	0%
Australian Pro Bono Centre Aspirational Target - Plan	N/A	No date currently set	Target currently met	50%

N/A

Goal date has been set	13%
No date currently set	38%

Supporting files uploaded

Nil

User comments and notes

Nil

Non Legal Volunteering

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Support for board positions of	f N/A	Yes	Yes	83%
organisations for social and environmental good			No	0%
environmentai good			Not reported	17%
Non legal volunteering -	N/A	No	Yes	83%
Support			Currently in development	3%
			No	3%
			Not reported	11%
Non-legal volunteering -	N/A	charity fundraising	NFP Boards	72%
Activities		NFP Boards	Organised staff volunteering	83%
			Paid volunteer time	36%
			Charity events and appeals	0%
			Blood donations	0%
			Student tutoring and mentoring	0%
			Secondments to NFPs	0%
			Skilled volunteering program	0%
			Community volunteering	0%
			Arts support	0%
			CPD for community sector lawyers	0%
			Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	0%
Non-legal volunteering -	N/A	2%	Lowest:	0 %
Employee participation			Highest:	28 %

 Supporting files uploaded
 N/A
 Nil
 6 %

User comments and notes

Nil

Corporate and Workplace Giving

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Corporate giving - Program?	N/A	Yes	Yes	86%
			No	11%
			Not reported	3%
Corporate giving - Activities	N/A	Firm donation program	Firm donation program	86%
		Matched funding for employee donations	Charitable foundation	31%
			Workplace giving	0%
			Matched funding for employee donations	72%
		Internal appeals and collections		0%
			External charity events and appeals	0%
			Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	0%
			Host external charity events	0%
Corporate sponsored giving -	N/A	20	Lowest:	NaN %
Employee participation		Highest:	Highest:	NaN %
			Average:	NaN %
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

User comments and notes

Nil

Indigenous Reconciliation

Question 2015 Response 2016 Response 2016 Benchmark	Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
---	----------	---------------	---------------	----------------

For publication

Indigenous reconciliation -	N/A	Currently in development	Yes	44%
Policy?			No	31%
			Currently in development	11%
			Not reported	14%
Indigenous reconciliation -	N/A	Yes	Yes	72%
Managed			No	4%
			Not reported	24%
			In development	0%
Indigenous reconciliation -	N/A	Committee	Partner	25%
Managed by			Director or Manager	28%
			Committee	33%
Indigenous reconciliation - Activities or programs	N/A	Internships and employment	Reconciliation Action Plan	44%
			Cultural awareness training	50%
			NAIDOC Week	33%
			National Reconciliation Week	33%
			Internships and employment	42%
			Scholarships and student mentoring	0%
			Indigenous employment and internship programs	0%
			Affirmative ATSI procurement	0%
			Pro bono support	0%
			Volunteering and secondments	0%
			Collaboration for reconciliation	0%
			Funding and donations	0%
			Indigenous Business Month	0%
			Indigenous Literacy Day	0%
			Supply Nation Membership	0%
			CareerTrackers participation	0%
			Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	17%

Australian Legal Sector Alliance Sustainability Data	
Supporting files uploaded N/A Nil	
User comments and notes Nil	
Data Validation	

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
	No respon	ses provided.	

Nil

Environment

Environmental Management

We are committed to our green initiatives, both simple and complex, which include stationary recycling drives, waste recycling, reducing power usage through such initiatives as lighting reductions and introduced utilities such as follow me print to avoid unnecessary printing. We are currently developing a paper-lite program to encourage everyone to reduce paper use.

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Environment -Policy?	N/A	Yes	Yes	83%
			No	0%
			Currently in development	14%
			Not reported	3%
Environment - Managed	N/A	Yes	Yes	86%
			No	8%
			Not reported	6%
Environment - Managed by	N/A	Committee	Partner	36%
			Director or Manager	50%
			Committee	56%
Green office - Activities or programs	N/A	Earth Hour	World Environment Day	22%
			Office green teams	0%
			Sustainability Advantage (NSW)	6%
			CitySwitch Green Office	28%
			Other programs not included above (please only include programs that are nor covered in the broad categories above - max 20 words	0%
Environmental Targets	N/A	No	Yes	64%
			No	19%
			Not reported	17%
Environmental Management	N/A	No	Yes	28%
System (EMS)			No	36%
			Currently in development	19%

N/A

Not reported 17%

Supporting files uploaded

Nil

User comments and notes

Nil

Climate Change Action

Nil

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Renewable electricity	N/A	0	Lowest:	0
generated onsite			Highest:	0
			Average:	0
Renewable electricity	N/A	kWh	kWh	100%
generated onsite unit			MJ	0%
			GJ	0%
Carbon offset credits	0	0	Lowest:	0
purchased			Highest:	9,911
			Average:	583
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

User comments and notes

Nil



Carbon emission sources

Scope 1	Tonnes CO2e	Per employee	Per floor area
On-Site Combustion	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Company Vehicles	0.00	0.0000	0.0000

For publication

Scope 1	Tonnes CO2e	Per employee	Per floor area
Refrigerants	1.37	0.0196	0.0006
Scope 2	Tonnes CO2e	Per employee	Per floor area
Purchased Electricity	176.81	2.5258	0.0782
Scope 3	Tonnes CO2e	Per employee	Per floor area
Scope 3 Flights	Tonnes CO2e 16.24	Per employee 0.2320	Per floor area
-			
Flights	16.24	0.2320	0.0072

Electricity

Electricity Type	State	Consumption (kWh)
Purchased electricity (General)	NSW	186,115

Natural Gas

Gas Type	State	Consumption (MJ)
No data available.		

Domestic Air Travel

Seat Class	Distance (km)	Number of Flights
Economy	N/A	26
Business	0	N/A

International Air Travel

Seat Class	Distance (km)	Number of Flights
Economy	N/A	7
Business	N/A	1
First Class	0	N/A

Car Travel

Vehicle Type	Number of journeys	Distance (km)	Spend (AUD)
Taxi	N/A	N/A	28,683
Hire Cars	N/A	N/A	201
Company Cars	N/A	0	N/A
Personal Cars	N/A	N/A	78

Refrigerant Loss

Fridge Type	Number of Units
Bar Fridges/freezers	1
Standard Fridges/freezers	6
Commercial Fridges/freezers	0
Standalone Air Conditioning Units	5

Inhouse Paper Consumption

Paper size	Paper weight (gsm)	Paper purchased (reams)	Green label	% recycled content
A4	80	2,145	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0
A4	80	355	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	20
A4	120	34	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0

Paper size	Paper weight (gsm)	Paper purchased (reams)	Green label	% recycled content
A3	120	1	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0
A4	80	70	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0
A3	80	12	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0
A3	80	15	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	20
A4	80	609	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0
A4	150	26	Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC) certified	0

Outsourced Paper Consumption

No of impressions (sheets)	% of impressions that are printed double sided
0	0

Total Paper Consumption

Туре	Paper Consumption (kg)
Ream consumption	8,322.03
Outsourced Printing	0.00
Total paper consumption	8,322.03

3.68 Total paper consumption per floor area

118.89 Total paper consumption per employee

2.31 % recycled paper purchased

Waste

Waste type	Facilities available	Percentage of sites where available
Paper & cardboard recycling	Available	100
Comingles recycling	Available	100
Organic waste treatment/recycling	Not Available	
e-Waste reuse or recycling	Not Available	

Carbon mitigation activities

Activity	Tonnes CO2e
Emissions Avoided from Green Tariff Electricity	0.00
Voluntary carbon offsetting	0.00

196.74 Net total emissions CO2e

Net total emissions per employee

D_09 Net emissions per floor area CO2e

Governance

About Governance Programs

Swaab Attorneys has introduced a formal policy on sustainability. The five pillars of the firm's sustainability initiative are people, community, environmental, pro bono and supply chain. At Swaab, sustainability is regarded as an integral part of our business management practice. We have a sustainability committee comprised of partners and staff who take responsibility for each pillar of the sustainability initiative. The sustainability committee have been successful this year in establishing a firmwide response to sustainability. Projects include indigenous internship program, increased community engagement through corporate citizen, developing a paper lite-program, moving to carbon neutral paper, engaging with suppliers regarding CSR as part of our procurement process, recycling drives, setting a formal pro bono budget and strengthening our relationship with Lifeline. We became a signatory to the Law Council's Diversity and Equity Charter. The sustainability committee has been successful in keeping sustainability on the partnership and management's agenda on a regular basis.

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil	

User comments and notes

Nil

Sustainable Supply Chain Management

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Sustainable Supply Chain	N/A	Yes	In development	0%
Management - Program?			Yes	39%
			No	33%
			Not reported	28%
Sustainable Supply Chain Management - Application	N/A	To both existing and new suppliers and products	To new suppliers and products	0%
			To both existing and new suppliers and products	100%
Sustainable Supply Chain	N/A	Environmental impacts	Human rights	28%
Management Coverage			Environmental impacts	36%
			Fair labour practices	14%
			Fair consumer and competition practices	0%
			Community development	19%
			Indigenous inclusion	14%
			Gender equality	22%

			Sustainable development goals	0%
			Modern Slavery	0%
			Diversity and Inclusion	0%
			Fraud bribery & corruption	0%
			UN Global compact and SDGs	0%
			Other (please specify, max 20 words)	0%
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

Nil

Code of Conduct

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Code of conduct in place	N/A	Yes	Yes	97%
			No	0%
			Currently in development	0%
			Not reported	3%
Code of conduct - Training?	N/A	Yes	Yes	92%
			No	6%
			Currently in development	0%
			Not reported	3%
Documented complaints and	N/A	Yes	Yes	97%
grievance mechanism			No	0%
			Not reported	3%
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

User comments and notes

Nil

Risk Management

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark	
Risk management plan	N/A	Yes	Yes	92%
			No	0%

			Not reported	8%
Business Continuity Plan	N/A	Yes	Yes	92%
(BCP) or Emergency Response Plan (ERP)			No	0%
			Not reported	3%
			Currently in development	6%
Supporting files uploaded	N/A	Nil		

Nil

Sustainability Reporting

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark
	No re	esponses provided.	
User comments and n	otes		
Nil			
Data Validation			

Data Validation

Question	2015 Response	2016 Response	2016 Benchmark			
No responses provided.						

User comments and notes

Nil